Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/598,297	SEKINE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1777
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Maureen M. Wallenhorst</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joseph Kim (Appl. Rep.)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>10 November 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-4, 7, 13, 15-18, 20		
Prior art documents discussed: Lumikari et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend the claims as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment so as to fully define over the reference to Lumikari et al and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Maureen M. Wallenhorst/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1777	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)